

DAYLIGHT

Patrons: The Immaculate Conception
St Joseph and St Michael Archangel

Editor and Secretary:
John G Campbell
5 Wallace Avenue
STEVENSTON
Ayrshire
Scotland

1st Issue

May, 1977

Contents: THE D. WATSON CASE, CURRENT EVENTS, A CATHOLIC NEWSPAPER, THE ALL IMPORTANCE OF EVOLUTION, MONKEYS FROM MEN, EVOLUTION: THE GREATEST HOAX, MR. MAGNUSSON'S WANDERINGS, A POEM, THE SCANDAL OF THE C.T.S., WHY NOT ABORTION & EUTHANASIA, AEONS OF TIME.

EDITOR'S LETTER

stop press insert

Dear and Gracious Readers,

Here goes in the name of God and of our illustrious patrons. Here we are at last.

It was decided to bring out this amateurish effort as a matter of urgency, for too much time has already been lost. The first purpose of this news-letter is, of course, to provide a means of communication between the members of our scattered group. The second - perhaps even more urgent - is to give data to those gallant schoolchildren who, without any popular works to draw upon, have been defying their Evolutionist mentors in the schools, defying them and all the popular Evolutionist pamphlets. For more than a decade our schoolchildren have been subject to a battery of Evolutionist propaganda in the schools, without ever having had a reply to hand.

The matter and the tone it is intended to keep as non-heavy, as light and clear as possible, so that the busy reader and the student may quickly obtain the points on which they wish to become informed.

It is intended to bring this out as a bi-monthly, with possibly an additional supplement as the occasion arises. Here there inevitably arises the matter of costs. Everything is being done by voluntary labour, i.e., except the professional cutting of the stencils. But stencils, paper and envelopes do cost money, not to mention postage; the costs for small-scale production are greater than at first thought. Thus, we reckon that an annual subscription of £2 would just enable us to meet minimum costs. But, on the other hand, if you are a pensioner or the parent of a large family and cannot afford anything, just send in your name and you will receive your copy.

We are reminded of St. Teresa's retort when Our Lord said that He made all his friends to suffer - "Dear Lord, that's why You have so few!" Let's hope our friends won't be so few. And to those eager ladies and gentlemen rising to their feet to ask the question - "No, the £2 is not the maximum subscription." Anything more you care to give will help to pay for the gratis copies.

So, with these few words, let's proceed

Yours sincerely, *J. G. Campbell*

CURRENT EVENTS

The David Watson case

Mr. David Watson was a schoolteacher under the Hertfordshire County Council, but he was dismissed from his employment at the end of last year. And for what crime or misdemeanour? It was for refusing to teach Evolution to the children, i.e., precisely as Religious Instruction.

The reader may rub his eyes at this, but it was quite widely reported in the daily press and over the B.B.C. It seems there is some sort of Agreed Syllabus into which the ever active Modernists have slipped their fundamental dogma. Obviously, they will stop at nothing to destroy traditional Religion in the minds of the children, even to putting obdurate teachers into the Gulag Archipelago of unemployment - and Mr. Watson is a distinguished author as well as an experienced teacher.

It also shows how far the Great State is prepared to go, to enforce a pseudo-religion, Evolutionism, designed to incorporate the person into the great non-person protoplasm under the State.

Mr. Watson has appealed to the appropriate tribunal against unjust dismissal, and we await the outcome with profound interest. All parents should watch for the verdict. But, no matter what this may be, they should be sufficiently alerted by this case to the bold and ruthless plans being actively pushed against the Faith of their children.

Mrs. M. Meronti of Westcliffe on Sea, though no longer in her twenties, carries on a gallant fight with her informative and succinct letters to the press. Her latest effort was headlined in the St. Anthony's Messenger of Padua, Italy.

Miss Paula Haigh of the Catholic Creation Centre of Louisville, Kentucky, continues with her erudite Newsletter. This Newsletter is a "must" for all who wish to study the question of Evolution in depth, particularly in its theological aspects. The distributor for the U.K. is, Fr. P. Lassiter of 3, Brook Gardens, Barnes, London, SWB, OLY.

An alert London priest informs us that he has now commenced his book against Evolution, a work specially designed for the junior children. More power to his elbow!

Miss Marie Kennedy of Dublin well known for her work in the Irish Family League, had recently an informative letter on Evolution in the Irish Catholic. We applaud her efforts, and urge her to continue. In general, Irish parents are not yet alert to the Evolutionist attack being prepared for their children.

Evolution: The Greatest Hoax of All Time. It will save much time if we answer here correspondent's queries regarding our book. The book had to be published by the late Fr. Flanagan, but the untimely death of this noble priest has put everything into the melting pot, and we understand that there will now be an indefinite delay before the publishing of new works can be undertaken.

Fr. L. Whatmore, who is carrying on Fr. Flanagan's work, has been stricken with eye trouble, and we ask readers' prayers for the success of his forthcoming operation.

An Irish Magazine, Ensign, a correspondent informs us, had recently a feature against Evolution, and an active priest has prepared a film for the children against the great hoax. It is cheering news.

The U.S.A. has now about forty radio stations carrying programmes exposing Evolution, coast to coast. These American scientists are the most active in the field.

So taking it all in, the counter-Evolutionists are quite active. But, as is the Evolutionist control of the main media, a much greater effort is still required.

A TRUE CATHOLIC NEWSPAPER

The Irish Catholic is probably the oldest Catholic paper in these islands; it remains staunchly orthodox. It may not be obtainable at all church door in the U.K., but it can always be ordered, or ordered by post from 55, Lr. Gardner Street, Dublin.

This most decent newspaper allows space for the protests of the orthodox against the manifestations of Modernism. And it is specially noteworthy for the fact that it gives coverage to the statements of the anti-Evolutionist scientists in the U.S.A. - it is probably alone in this, as far as these countries are concerned.

The Irish Catholic provides excellent Sunday reading for all the family and particularly for the children, subject to so many baneful influences today. Make a point of getting this truly Catholic Journal.

WHY EVOLUTION IS ALL IMPORTANT

Evolution is the doctrine basic to the whole doctrine of Modernism, that heresy which attempts to transpose Catholicism into a catholic naturalism. Basing itself upon Evolution, as St. Pius X pointed out, Modernism avers that Evolution is the cosmic law, that there is nothing in the universe that is fixed. Therefore all dogmas must go, to conform with the whole universe constantly evolving.

Of course, Evolution informs us that there were no First Parents, Adam and Eve is all a myth; man evolved in divers places from the monkeys. Therefore, there was no Original Sin, therefore, no Redemption and no Jesus Christ Redeemer, no Incarnation of the Second Person of the Blessed Trinity no Resurrection, that is, no supernatural religion whatsoever. And this naturalism they have the tongue-in-cheek insolence - to inform us is our Catholicism updated.

At the same time, there is a wondrous dogma, which must be held by constant acts of faith. It is that everything continues to evolve in only one direction, towards higher and better things; so that finally we shall all arrive in some earthly utopia, in the voyage being incorporated in a "god" who is one with the forces of the universe. This is the doctrine of Teilhard de Chardin, who in his Phenomenon of Man declares that the world began by "unbelievable accident", i.e., classical atheism. There will be an exposure of this incredible charlatan of the new Catholicism in our next issue, his curious adventures and his absurd doctrines.

Thus, Evolution is all important to the Modernists. But, when it is exposed the whole body of Modernist teaching being imposed on our children collapses like a house of cards.

MEN EVOLVE INTO MONKEYS * * *

This, quite seriously, is the declaration of a prominent Evolutionist, Dr. Geoffrey Bourne, Primate Research Centre, Yerkes University. It was reported in Modern People of April 18th, 1976.

It seems that Dr. Bourne was stunned by the declaration of Dr. Leakey - of Kenya skulls fame - that remains of fully-fledged man had been discovered antedating by a million years that of "man's ancestors". Therefore, he de that his new doctrine was the only way to fit in "the facts" of Evolution.

In truth, each day the Evolution industry becomes madder and merrier. is forced to ask, if none of the great "ancestors of man" were really man's ancestor, who, then, was "man's ancestor"? Obviously, it could not have been a matter of generation from the animal world. Therefore - quite seriously in these terms - "man's ancestor" must have been a spiritual being, one of those "gods" who had arrived on one of the flying-saucers of Mr. Von Danik. The descendants of the god-like being - 'pon my soul, by what wife?

- during those aeons of time lost their Olympian joie de vivre and devolved into monkeys. In any kind of reasoning - leaving God out of it, of course - if man did not come through the animal generations he must have come about in this way.

This poses quite a problem for the promoters of Catholic Evolutionist catechetics, the Father Tonys, the Mother Matildas, and Karl Rahner, plodding away, wondering if Original Sin can be reconciled with man's multiple ancestry from the monkeys. And here it is the monkeys which have descended from man! They have already destroyed the traditional Catholic teaching in favour of Evolution; and now their new catechetics are already out of date, opposed to "the findings of science".

Our catechetical "experts" know that they must accept "the findings of science", for they have told the children ad nauseum that such is the basis of everything. It will be interesting to see how they present the "Message of Salvation" in terms of man's Devolution from the monkeys.

Or have they not yet heard of the latest pronouncement of "science"?

EVOLUTION: THE GREATEST HOAX

This case it is intended to present under three main headings:

- i) That Evolution is bespattered with a series of frauds such as that of Piltdown Man.
- ii) That there is a systematic suppression of the verdicts of leading scientists, who insist that Evolution is contrary to the facts of their respective sciences.
- iii) That Evolution is not a scientific matter at all; which is the keynote of the whole argument.

Piltdown Man

This affair has the flavour of some uproarious stage comedy. The curtain rises at Piltdown in the south of England in the year 1912. Here in that year there was discovered Piltdown Man, the missing-link to end all discussion.

Later in the same year the discovery was formally announced to the world, in London, in a lecture given by Mr. Smith Woodward of the British Museum and by Mr. Charles Dawson of Piltdown.

For forty years Piltdown Man was enshrined in the British Museum, classes of students being conducted there to have the fossils scientifically explained to them. The cause of Evolution was triumphant, the theories of Mr. Charles Darwin completely vindicated.

Nevertheless, Marcellin Boule, the Father of Paleontology, had, all along stubbornly insisted that the human skull and the monkey jawbone formed an impossible combination. And there had always been rumours of a hoax from the Piltdown area.

To quell these mischievous rumours, which persisted, a test of the fossil was conducted by means of the fluorine test. To the surprise of all, this showed the fossils not to be 500,000 years old, but merely 50,000 - nevertheless this could but show that the monkey-man transition had been much later than had been reckoned.

Still, the test caused a widespread ripple of uneasiness, and it was decided to hold another incorporating both the fluorine and radio-carbon methods. This latter test was conducted by J.S. Weiner, K.P. Oakley and W. Legros Clerk, all Evolutionists. This time the results were sensational; the skull was of great antiquity, but the jawbone was that of a freshly killed monkey!

There was consternation, widespread incredulity, and experts from the learned bodies were gathered for their examinations and assessments of the

evidence. The final results were even more sensational. They confirmed that the jawbone was that of a freshly dead monkey; that it had been broken at the joint to make it fit into the skull; that the teeth had been artificially stained to give them the appearance of antiquity, and bore the marks of modern steel instruments to file them down into shape.

Thus died Piltdown Man, the great ancestor.

Indeed, this has all the flavour of a stage farce. But it is all recorded sober fact, the results of the test being recorded in the Bulletin of the British Museum, No. 3, 1953. There is also a comprehensive account of the case in The Piltdown Forgery by J.S. Weiner, one of the conductors of the test, this book being available in the major libraries.

Now, the point about the Piltdown affair is not that some Evolutionists forged the evidence, but that all the Evolutionist scientists accepted it for forty years - truly, the wish is father to the thought. Of course, all mention of the Piltdown story is carefully excised from the little Evolutionist pamphlets handed to the children, those containing the pictures of the great skulls, the other "missing-links". The whole affair raises the question of the nature of Evolutionist science; and it is thus of first importance.

Dear Schoolchildren, you who so gallantly defy your Evolutionist mentors when they inform you that the Bible is all a myth, is it not timely that you should ask them about the Piltdown myth, and ask for full details about the other great skulls, of Java and Pekin?

At the same time, it would also be timely to ask your mentors about Teilhard de Chardin, the so-called "priest-scientist" whose books adorn so many Catholic libraries. Ask them the blunt question, is it not true that Teilhard de Chardin was one of the "discoverers" of Piltdown Man? However, in this matter it is reckoned that he was a dupe, taken to the spot and allowed to find one of the filed-down teeth.

Is it any business of the scientists?

This may seem a very bold question, i.e., in this age in which science is a "sacred cow". But, in fact, this question is basic.

A competent scientist, a friend of the writer, recently made the pithy remark, that science is but the more particular knowledge of a subject. Thus, we can all affirm that there is a general law of growth in nature, and this from our general observation. Certainly, the agricultural scientist has a much more thorough and particular knowledge of the processes involved. But as certainly, his expertise can not deny the validity of the general observation. Clearly, there are two fields, one the particular and scientific, the other that of the general observation.

Then, is Evolution a matter for the general observation, or does it require the expertise of the scientists?

But they tell us that Evolution is everywhere, the great universal phenomenon. All the animals have emerged from other animals, and all men have emerged from the monkeys. Indeed, they issue those popular pamphlets giving illustrations of the transitions at every stage, so that we may be able to identify them! Small blame to us, then, if we take them at their word, and insist that this universal panorama is something that we can see for ourselves. As surely as we can affirm that there are ships on the horizon, without calling in a naval architect.

So Dear Readers, do not let ourselves be browbeaten, let's always remind them of Pekin Man!

The here and now of it

When they point out the Evolution evidences to us they turn out to be those skulls - of the Piltdown type - of supposedly millions of years age. And when we remind our Evolutionist guides that we are asking to see the great

panorama of Evolution before our eyes, the manalive reality of things, they undoubtedly show signs of pique.

Here we have to remind our Evolution mentors of their own case. They say that our world and everything in it came about through the operation of the great universal forces, which are the forces of Evolution; that Evolution is innate in the laws of all being. And they emphasize that these laws of being have been constant (Uniformitarianism) through all the aeons of time - indeed, how else could they chart the progress of the little amoeba on its hundreds of millions years voyage to man?

Yes, it requires little logic and less philosophy to point out that if Evolution is innate in the laws of all being, constant throughout all time, that it must be in operation here and now - Q.E.D. as those Scholastics were wont to say. And, thus, the production of the skulls is nothing but a red-herring, an intellectual sleight of hand. Yes, if Evolution ever was, it must be here, in the here and now.

This great and common-sense question obviously underlies the whole Evolutionist controversy - it is the sine qua non. Indeed, this question has constantly been posed to the Evolutionist prophets, and invariably it has been evaded. Thus, either there is an inability to grasp the most fundamental philosophic reasoning, or else they are the children of the Father of Lies, one with the Children of Cain.

At this point there rushes in M. Teilhard de Chardin, the leader of the Catholic Evolutionist mystics (he rushed in at Piltdown and rushed in at Pekin) to declare that physical Evolution has now stopped; and that it now confines itself to the moral uplift of mankind - which is a very comforting thought. However, as this doctrine of M. de Chardin is not any demonstration of science or of human reason, but is derived solely from his own revelation, we must leave it for the moment.

But, in fact, the real Evolutionists present to us their own explanation, quite a subtle one. They explain that Evolution is still present, but its changes or mutations are so infinitely slow and minute that they cannot be observed in any one period of time.

To this, of course, there is the pungent rejoinder - If Evolution cannot be observed, how do you know it is there, or does it require an act of faith? At the same time, whilst the onus of disproof does not rest with us, this argument would appear a more baffling one to disprove. That is, if we remain in the dimension of Evolution. (Contd. page 7).

Dear Readers, we suggest that it is now time for a break, to drink a cup of the dark Assam, or a glass of the red Bordeaux; the while we view one of those comedy acts of the B.B.C.

MR. MAGNUSSON WANDERS

Our South of England reporter sends us an account, with picture, of Magnus Magnusson's current performance on the B.B.C.

Here is Mr. Magnusson wandering the Middle East with lugubrious mien, lamenting the fact that those Biblical patriarchs did not leave archeological remains so that he could know of their existence; no archeological remains, therefore, they couldn't have existed. Mr. Magnusson is surely too naive for words.

Then, has he found archeological proofs for the existence of Plato and Aristotle? And if Caesar did not leave a tablet saying, "Caesar was here", does that mean that he was but a mythical Father Figure to epitomise a great cultural "mutation" of the early Britons? Does Mr. Magnusson not accept any documentary evidence, and is he consistent in this?

Truth is, Mr. Magnusson merely gives us a re-hash of the 19th century "rationalism", and seems culpably ignorant of the later archeological discoveries which have verified so many Biblical accounts. For a scholarly

but popular account of these there is a "must" in Warner Keller's The Bible as History, now, we believe, on sale on the stalls as a paperback.

Among other things, Keller points out that the land of Abraham's wife, the Kingdom of Mari, was for long dubbed as "mythical", but now his book contains the photographs of the excavated remains of the palaces and sophisticated dwellings of this highly civilised kingdom.

Also, Abraham's altar at Mamre has been excavated, whilst Mr. Magnusson, in his ignorance, continues to wonder if Abraham was ever a real person.

Of surpassing interest is Keller's account of the discovery of the Manna in the Sinai desert, this so long scoffed at as a myth. The first expedition to verify this was in 1823 (so Mr. Magnusson has had time to become informed) the second, of the Hebrew University in Jerusalem, a century later, brought back photographs of the Manna. The Manna is gathered in the early morn by the Bedouins to this day, and figures in the list of exports from the Sinai peninsula. It may be that the first miracle has been transposed by God into a natural phenomenon - like the rainbow - to remind us of eternal truth. The Editor will hazard no guess. But it certainly disposes of the tale, that the Israelites couldn't have wandered all that time in the desert.

And here there is one of those "hard" questions deemed impolite in our atheistic society - Is this attacker of the Bible a prejudiced atheist or unbeliever from the outset?

A POEM!

Inspired by Mr. Charles Darwin's vision of all the animals gradually verging into man, the peoples' liberator and nature-lover, Tir Nan Og, walked into the sanctum and deposited a poem upon the editorial desk. Well, as his subscription is as good as anyone else's, here goes:

My Dog

According to Mr. Charles Darwin,
The mind of man's just animal.
The animals are always larnin',
And soon will come the great finale.

The other morn at my ablution
My dog piped up, clear as could be,
"Now has come my Evolution.
Please set another place for me". T.N.O.

And if anyone should think that Tir Nan Og is misinterpreting Mr. Darwin's message to mankind, here is what Mr. Darwin himself said:

"Nevertheless, the difference in mind between man and the higher animals, great as it is, is certainly one of degree and not of kind."

Descent of Man, p. 193

EVOLUTION: THE GREATEST HOAX (Contd.)

The Mutations

But let us go to the dimension of reality, to the world around us as it really is. We note that the great mutation of cancer can come to full bloom in the course of a year, the manifold malformations of the joints taking gross form within a few years; and we note that the freak animal can be derived right-away from healthy stock. We see - with our very eyes - that changes or mutations do take place with great rapidity (though none are evolutionist changes). It only remains to ask the Evolutionists - Why, then, do you say that the changes in nature must be infinitely slow and infinitely minute? They ask us to believe that we do not see that which we see.

The Pathological

The postulated Evolutionist processes are those of changes in the morphological order, the structural; the organ mutates into a new and more survivable organ, and the body of mutated organs forms the new animal - mutations of the organs, without which nothing. And, be it noted, these mutations of the organs must be beneficent and creative, i.e., they must create better, more viable, organs.

But, regard again the mutations which we do see in the world of reality. When a person develops a lump of the ankle does he wonder if it might be creative, the beginning of a third foot (even for posterity!) a lump on the breast to be the beginning of a new third breast? No, these persons know from the immemorial knowledge of mankind that these mutations are certainly not creative, and they hurry off to the physician and surgeon - and the animal with mutations is killed off, as having little chance of survival.

Thus, when we consider the changes or mutations known to us in nature, a general rule becomes apparent: They are pathologic states, states of disease, rendering the organs less viable, and unless the mutation be reversed or at least contained, i.e., by a reversion to type, they act to the non-preservation of the subject and not to its greater preservation.

This general rule, alas! it is everywhere to be seen.

A red herring

The Evolutionists point out that there are "variations" everywhere among the animals. For instance, there is that wide range of horses; the small ponies, the Mongol horse, the Arabian, the Mustang, and so on. They point out that different conditions and environments have caused these wide "variations", these changes; so that, with the process going on and on, we may conclude that eventually one animal will evolve into another - they solemnly inform us that some moths become darker moths in industrial areas. But it will not do. We may not conclude it.

For, when we actually look at the horses we see that all of them are still horses, all of the same structure and organs. Now, a horse evolving into a dromedary must begin to sprout those two lumps on its back; evolving into an elephant, it must begin to sprout a little ivory tusk. But, from the first wall paintings and carvings of the animals, the horses, the pigs, the ducks are all as they are now. Nowhere is there any record of those between animals - indeed, the unicorn is defined in the dictionary as "a fabulous animal"! In short, variations within the kinds have nothing whatsoever to do with mutations between the kinds.

It is to be noted that in the "variation" argument there is used the term "micro-evolution", this leading on to "macro-evolution". Those of a ready wit will have already perceived the honoured Evolutionist method.

To summarise

Thus, Evolution requires a world of beneficent and creative mutations. But the law of the mutations or changes that we do see is that they run in the opposite direction, no less! Nevertheless, they continue to inform us that the processes of nature are those of glorious, creative mutations, all moving on to higher and better things, and Mr. Darwin himself actually insists on this in his Origin of Species, p. 187. This time we are asked to believe that we see that which we do not see.

In passing, the writer would draw the attention of the gentle reader to the fact, that the scientific arguments here, on mutations, are one with the general observation of the common man - "These changing animals, they are nowhere to be seen!"

And here presents itself an ineffable paradox. The whole materialist doctrine (and with it the materialist conception of history) rests upon a hierarchy of invisible, spiritualised animals.

"All the scientists"

However, it may be asked, why has all this not been pointed out before to the Evolutionists, this lack of foundation for their whole case? But it has been pointed out repeatedly, and not only in recent years - so that there is no question of a time lag. The following quotations are given, not that the reader may base his judgement upon them, but so that it may be seen that the warnings were truly given, and by writers of prominence and authority.

J. Lefevre, Director of Le Laboratoire de Biogenetique, Paris, in his Manuel Critique de Biologie, 1938, writes, p. 39; We know that the multifarious species fall into a very small number of organised types, absolutely distinct, the only combination of organs compatible with life. Likewise, very rare are the combinations of metallic organs suitable for the making of an electric motor or other kind of motor that works.

Between these types, then, no intermediate is possible; and if, peradventure, a form appears to be intermediate, careful examination will prove that it is not so. Placed between the two equalibria of the bird and the bat, having lost the first and not yet possessing the second, the creature of transition would be imaginary. We repeat that the steam engine ceases to function immediately one, by the least modification, seeks to bring its form to that of the electric motor.

Louis Vialleton, Professor of Biology, Montpellier, writes in his Membres et Ceintures des Vertebres Tetrapodes (1924) p. 696; The doctrine of Evolution had hardly been formulated when it began to crumble because paleontologists such as Zittel pointed out the great discrepancy between the facts of paleontology and what should exist if evolution had been the law of the formation of organisms.

And in his classic work, L'Origine des Etres Vivants, L'Illusion Transformiste, Vialleton gives examples too numerous to mention, from the serpent and bird to the whale, showing that these creative mutations do not appear.

Lord Kelvin, who needs no introduction, constantly warned the Evolutionists of the unscientific nature of their claims.

Sir Ambrose Fleming, inventor of the radio valve, constantly lectured against Evolution as "an unproven and unscientific theory".

Dr. W.R. Thompson, F.R.S., Director of the Commonwealth Institute of Biological Control, writes in Philosophical Problems in Biology, 1966; What the great book of nature shows us, indeed, is not an evolutionary flux, but a world that is at once polymorphic and stable within narrow limits.

The prolific Douglas Dewar in his Man: A Special Creation makes the challenge: There appears to exist no mechanism whereby a new type of organism can arise from an existing one. This explains why all the breeds of dogs, pigeons, etc., are still dogs and pigeons.

Sir Cecil Wakely, former President of the Royal College of Surgeons, sums it all up in a Major Challenge to Evolution; It seems such a pity that in a scientific age when precision and detail are so important that the vast majority of scientists believe in evolution, and yet all know that the basic facts are all against such a theory.

But things have altered somewhat since Sir Cecil spoke. There is now a large group of American scientists who complain that the dogma of Evolution is no less than a barrier to true scientific progress. Typical of these is Prof. E. Black, Professor of Nuclear Engineering, University of Minnesota, who states that Evolution contradicts the Laws of Thermodynamics, and scorns it as, "a fairy tale".

A book of quotations, in fact, could be given, but the foregoing examples show that there has been a continuous challenge - and from the earliest days - to the very basis of Evolution. And here be it noted that

Vialleton's great work, L'Illusion Transformiste, went through no less than 17 editions in the first two years after publication. Thus, it is not credible that the Evolutionists do not know all this. Yet, in all the broadcasts, in all the popular works, in the Catholic pamphlets (for the instruction sic! of the faithful) there is repeated that famous saying, "All the scientists agree about Evolution!" From the few samples given, the reader can judge for himself if he has not been further hoaxed.

Undoubtedly, the aforementioned Dr. W.R. Thompson called a spade a spade when he said, in his shattering foreword to the centennial reprint of the Origin of Species: The success of Darwinism was accompanied by a decline in scientific integrity.

Whilst, as a farewell salvo, the gentle reader is reminded - it is not really the business of the scientists at all. For Evolution - to be or not to be - is a universal.

THE SCANDAL OF THE C.T.S.

The Catholic Truth Society of England & Wales continues its publication and sale of works interpreting for the faithful the Evolutionist doctrines of Teilhard de Chardin. First of all, to put things in true perspective, here is the Monitum of the Holy See of June 30th 1962.

Warning - certain works, even posthumous ones, of Father Pierre Teilhard de Chardin are spreading and are having no little success.

Leaving aside any judgement in so far as the positive sciences are concerned, it is sufficiently manifest that in the matter of philosophy and theology the aforementioned works are full of such ambiguities, or rather grave errors, as to offend Catholic doctrine.

For this reason the most Eminent and Most Reverend Fathers of the Supreme Sacred Congregation of the Holy Office exhort all Ordinaries and also the Superiors of religious institutes, Rectors and Seminaries and Principals of Universities effectively to safeguard the minds, especially of the young, against the dangers of the works of Father Teilhard de Chardin and his supporters.

The warning could not conceivably be more clear and definite.

Now, the C.T.S. is under the control of the Archbishops and Bishops of England and Wales, all of whom rank as vice-presidents. And, thus, the C.T.S. is quite naturally regarded as expressing the wishes and policies of this Hierarchy. Yet, the blatant sale of these works is being conducted in the Victoria St. shop, right opposite the main door of Westminster Cathedral and but a couple of hundred yards from the Archbishop's House. We would suggest that it would be timely for Cardinal Hume to take a constitutional down the street, and view the wares that are being offered under his declared patronage.

But there is an even worse aspect to the scandal: The C.T.S. announces on the front of all its pamphlets, PUBLISHERS TO THE HOLY SEE, and this under the Papal coat of arms. So here are appointed publishers to the Holy See openly flaunting the Monitum of the Holy See in regard to works containing "grave errors, as to offend Catholic doctrine". It is an astonishing state of affairs and, seemingly, one that would call for H.E. the Apostolic Delegate to drive up from the residence on Wimbledon Common - only a 15 minute trip - to investigate the state of affairs in Eccleston Square.

Archbishop Heim could then ascertain the facts for himself, and, if the responsible person cannot show his evidence on the revocation of the Monitum, then, surely, he should be rebuked and all offending matter destroyed. On the other hand, if the Monitum has been revoked an official statement to that effect would ease the consciences of all concerned.

But if the Monitum has not been revoked and the C.T.S. persists on its course, is there not then a moral case for the return of the life-membership?

subscriptions of those who oppose "the works of Father Teilhard de Chardin" and his supporters.

WHY NOT ABORTION & EUTHENASIA?

And why not, that is if man is but an extension of the animal kind? Here is a question that opponents of abortion and euthenasia seem to have ignored until now.

We accept that animals should be killed (and even eaten) and on humane grounds we demand that the wounded animal be put out of its misery. Therefore, if we demand that man be not aborted and not subject to "mercy-killing" or put out of the way when he is old (and surely it is "voluntary-compulsory" euthenasia that is being advocated) then we must be able to make our distinction clear, not only clear but most vigorous and emphatic.

That is to say, the absolute distinction called for can be no other than this, that man is a unique creature made in the Image and Likeness of God. No other wishy-washy explanations of the humanist genre can carry any conviction.

The anti-abortionists are seemingly unaware of the fact that we live in a society which has largely accepted the animality of man via Evolution, the teachings of Mr. Charles Darwin, and the implicit acceptance of this idea forms the basis of all social thinking today. And if there is any disbelief that Darwin really taught this, here are the relevant quotations again:

Nevertheless the difference in mind between man and the lower animals, great as it is, is certainly one of degree and not of kind. "Descent of Man" p. 195

The horrid doubt always arises whether the convictions of man's mind, which has developed from the mind of the lower animals, are of any value or at all trustworthy. Would anyone trust the convictions of a monkey's mind, if there are any convictions in such a mind? "Life and Letters" p. 289

(By the way, there is surprise expressed almost everywhere at the new phenomenon of the child murderer and rapists and so on. But why the surprise? Does it not follow from the introduction over the past decade of Darwinism in the schools? If man's mind has no convictions, neither can it have moral convictions. And why should not the young act according to the prescriptions of cave-man and the "primal horde"?)

Here, then is the truth, the "hard saying" that must confront all anti-abortionists. If man is just one of the animal kind, there is no reason why society should not dispose of him like any other animal. The present demands are only to be expected in a society impregnated with Darwinism.

Therefore, if those opposed to abortion and euthenasia are convinced that these things are absolutely evil, without any compromise, then they must demand the elimination of the present Darwinism in the schools; and that the great hoax of Evolution be exposed through all strata of society.

Those who are unaware of the completeness of the Darwinist capture of the mind of society should make haste to enquire.

THE AEONS OF TIME

by A Special Correspondent

It is a surprising fact, that some of the intellectual clergy feel that they must consider Evolution, believing in some way that the aeons of time represent an apparent fact of the universe. It is a popular argument today, and it runs roughly thus, "Look at all the millions of miles of space, so there must also have been millions of years of time!"

But, stop and think - does it really follow? We know that God created everything that is, the millions of stars separated by millions of miles of space. But supposing this had been viewed only a twelve-month after Creation took place, there would simply be no apparent indication that Creation had

attained only its first birthday. No, the vastness of the cosmos, the millions of miles, has simply nothing to do with the age of the cosmos.

Take the book of nature. The trees, the animals give us full information on almost every point, except on this one point; they give no information about the number of generations of trees and animals. The sun rises and sets each day, but the great phenomenon declares nothing about the number of sunrises since Creation. Indeed, that famous voyager "a stranger from Mars", seeing no human being about and being newly landed, could well conclude that the green earth was something newly sprung up in the cosmos.

No, this business of looking at the earth and cosmos and seeing how old it all is, it is nothing but the great hypnotic trick of the Evolutionist pseudo-scientist. The great age of things is based solely upon his calculations, calculations based upon the necessity of fitting Evolution into the picture. It is entirely a matter of the scientific calculations, true or false.

The scientists

The fact is not mentioned in the Evolutionist propaganda - not to disturb the reader - that Lord Kelvin, one of the immortals of science, had many tussles with the Evolutionists, in which he rebuked them for their lack of scientific knowledge; he claiming that Physics demonstrated that the earth's age could be only a fraction of that deemed necessary by Evolution. Lord Kelvin is certainly not alone. For example, Professor Barnes, Professor of Physics, University of Texas, commenting upon the decline of the earth's magnetic field, as demonstrated in the recent geophysical year, declares that the earth cannot be more than 10,000 years old. And there are many other of the "hard" scientists who dispute the Evolutionist claims in toto.

The age of the rocks

This is usually brought forward as the "apparent" argument for the great age of the earth. Let's examine this a little.

Previous to the 19th century the rocks were not regarded as being in any way a testimony against the Biblical account. Then began the school led by David Lyell, the English solicitor and amateur geologist, which set out to show that the testimony of the rocks disproved the account of Genesis - there were Evolutionists before Darwin. Please remember that this was a century before any of the radium tests. This school then produced its demonstration: the lowest strata of rocks contained no fossils; therefore, these must be the oldest rocks, there before life began on earth. Thereupon, they erected their table of the age of the rocks. That is to say, they timed the rocks by the (Evolutionist) age of the fossils, and they timed the fossils by the age of the rocks!

However, there has been some up-to-date research on the subject. Thus, Professor Henry Morris in his The Remarkable Birth of Planet Earth discloses that in the Glacier National Park, U.S.A., there is a vast block of pre-Cambrian limestone, 350 miles long by 35 miles wide by 6 miles thick, this rock being dated as thousands of millions of years old - but it is resting upon cretaceous ~~shales~~ of 100 million years old! And geologists know that there are many examples of such phenomena throughout the world.

Regarding the great matter of the radium etc., tests Professor Morris in the same book gives examples of quite ludicrous contradictions in a list of 72 of these tests. Thus, the influx of sodium to the oceans shows an age of 260 million years, but the influx of nickel one of 9,000 years only. The influx of magnesium gives a dating of 45 million years, but the influx of thorium one of a mere 390 years.

Thus, considering the forged photos of Haeckel, the hoaxes of Piltdown and Pekin, the general suppression of scientific testimony (all to prove that a no-God explanation of the universe is there) is it not incumbent on us to carefully scrutinise every estimate emanating from these quarters?

The testimony of man

But it is too often forgotten that poor burdened mankind also has testimony to give. There is one overwhelming historical fact that we are sure of, that there has been a constant increase in the human population throughout time. Taking the estimated world population of 200 millions in A.D.I., the present world population of 4,000 millions gives an increase of twenty-fold over the two millenia. But let us cut this figure by 100 p.c., i.e., to give an increase of ten-fold.

The Evolutionist chronology presented to us gives from 3 million to 1 million years since the great "ancestors", but let us take the lower figure. Suppose we take a population of 100 persons at the commencement of the million years epoch: 4 steps of 2,000 years would bring a population of 1 million; 7 steps would mean 1,000 million; 9 steps 100,000; whilst ten steps would attain a population of 1,000,000 millions. It is a remarkable fact that the population "experts", who forecast with horror a population of 6,000 million have not yet awakened to the fact that the million-million mark should have been attained in the memorable year, 980,000 B.C.! Indeed, taking a low average of three children per family, Professor Morris calculates that our world population today would be expressed as 1 followed by 5,000 zeros.

To summarise

The Modernist clerics base their theology upon "the scientists". Well, here are the scientists, the real, "hard scientists". As Lord Kelvin pointed out, it is really necessary to put pencil to paper and do some real, hard calculations.

Others of the clergy should realise that the astounding ignorance of the Modernist clerics evinces that they have imbibed their science only from the fifth-hand little pamphlets. In the meantime, the traditional teaching to the children should not be altered - not by one iota - until the Evolutionist scientist actually prove their case.

And meanwhile regard the picture of man, made in the Image and Likeness of God, the astronomer and builder of the Pyramids, who stirred not from his half-animal cave for the first 990,000 years of his existence. Is it not a startling myth?

WHAT CAN BE DONE?

Certainly, the task is formidable for there is almost everywhere a complete suppression of the facts, on the radio and television, and the publishing houses have heavily invested in histories beginning with the Evolutionist account. But experience has shown that the great wall of silence can be breached.

Supposing fifty readers of these pages were each to write a letter to their local newspaper, and each letter were read by a thousand persons, then that means that fifty thousand people would have got to know that there is something wrong with Evolution. That is, by constant small efforts there can come a public awareness of the issue, a knowledge that the truth is being suppressed.

And there is not to be forgotten the word of mouth activity. It is enough to say on any appropriate occasion - "Have you heard of the latest Evolution hoax?"

In fact, it will be found that there is quite a sympathetic hearing for the anti-Evolutionist news. Many people have become tired of the pronouncements of "the scientists", and now take these with a grain of salt. And it is amazing how the grape-vine telegraph of the common man is at work. Thus, in spite of the massive non-publicity surrounding Piltdown Man, most ordinary men have got to hear of the forgery, and they smile whenever it is mentioned.

Let it be remembered that Goliath in all his armour was brought down by a stone from a sling. The great thing is to make a start.

COMING EVENTS

Undoubtedly, the great influence on the Evolution side today is that of the pseudo-mystic, Teilhard de Chardin, whose works are widely read in the seminaries and convents and colleges. Therefore, a detailed exposure of this charlatan will be the main purpose of our next issue - so be sure to order your copy. Our main features should be these: The Adventures of Teilhard de Chardin, The Prophet Teilhard de Chardin, Evolution and the Human Soul, The Flood of Noah Proved, The Theistic-Evolutionists.

POSTAGE

Postage, remains our constant headache, and the matter is particularly virulent with regard to Air Mail. On the one hand the Post Office admits there are no longer scheduled shipping services, on the other they blandly impose extortionate charges for Air Mail. Thus, we have to inform overseas correspondents that the annual subscription by Air Mail really must be one of £5.00 or its equivalent. We much regret this, but we are in the hands of the Philistines, the great State monopoly.

ARRIVERDERC!

Dear and Gracious Readers,

Well, as St. Teresa said, all bad things must come to an end.

To those who already know thoroughly the counter-Evolution case the contents may have been a bit boring. But, as we pointed out, the great necessity is to present a condensation of the case against Evolution to those who do not possess the data. So, please suffer it all for the sake of the weaker brethren.

This first issue, as already pointed out, has been issued as a matter of urgency. We have encountered various production difficulties. And, thus, the result may be somewhat uneven. But, undoubtedly, we shall learn by experience, and improve as we go along.

We shall be greatly interested to have readers' reactions, and, particularly, to have their information on the enforcement of the Evolutionist propaganda in the schools and colleges in their areas - this latter is of great importance to our task. At the same time, we shall be pleased to receive contributions for inclusion in future issues.

In the meantime, God bless all here!

Yours sincerely, *John G. Campbell*